

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,515	03/30/2004	Antonio Brigato	1855-16	6132
7590 12/29/2005			EXAMINER	
John S. Egbert			SELLS, JAMES D	
Harrison & Egbert 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
412 Main Street			1734	
Houston, TX	77002		DATE MAIL ED: 12/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/813,515	BRIGATO, ANTONIO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James Sells	1734			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication appoints	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to repair Any reply recommendations	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period working the service of the control of	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Se</u>	eptember 2005.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
close	ed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) O 5) ☐ Clain 6) ☑ Clain 7) ☐ Clain	n(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw n(s) is/are allowed. n(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Pa	apers					
10)⊠ The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the Examiner rawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a cant may not request that any objection to the decement drawing sheet(s) including the correctionath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) D Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brault et al (US Patent 5,601,959).

Brault discloses a method for applying a transferable image 18 to a permanent receptor 20, such as a wall (col. 5, line 33) comprising the steps of:

- (1) Applying a transferable image 18 onto the surface of a transfer sheet 24, 26 via a computer driven printer (Figures 1 and 5 and col. 4, lines 38-62);
- (2) Applying an adhesive coating or binder 22 onto the wall and also applying adhesive onto the transferable image 18 applied to the transfer sheet 24, 26 (col. 5, lines 41-43 and col. 6, lines 24-26);
- (3) Placing the transfer sheet 24, 26 against the wall with the transferable image 18 in contact with the adhesive 22 (col. 6, lines 58-60);
- (4) Applying heat and/or pressure to press the transfer sheet 24, 26 against the wall 20 to bond and transfer the transferable image 18 thereto via the adhesive layers (col. 6, lines 60-63); and
 - (5) Removing the transfer sheet carrier 24 from the wall (col. 6, lines 64-67).

Art Unit: 1734

With regards to the adhesive applied to either or both of the transferable image and the wall, Brault discloses that it may exhibit tackiness at room temperatures, or may be non tacky at room temperatures and be heat or pressure activated (col. 5, lines 52-54). Brault also discloses that the transferable image 18 is printed by an electrographic (i.e. electrostatic) printer (col. 4, lines 39-55). At col. 5, lines 30-40, Brault discloses that the permanent receptor or wall 20 and may be rigid or semi-rigid sheeting or plating such as glass, ceramic, cardboard or brick wall.

It is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to overcoat the transferable image with a varnish since Brault suggests that further protective overcoatings can be applied over the transferable image 18 in order to provide better image protection, solvent, UV and stain resistance (col. 9, lines 40-55). Although they do not specifically disclose that the coating comprises a varnish or the various types of sealants, one having ordinary skill in the art would appreciate that many protective materials including varnished, shellacs, clear paint, etc. could be used in conjunction with the method of Brault et al. In addition, plaster is a well known wall components and drying coatings is well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the method of Brault described above.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9-30-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1734

Applicant argues the invention of Brault cannot be used on a permanent wall and thus fails to teach applicant's claimed invention. The examiner disagrees. Applicant's claims merely recite a permanent wall without any limitations of the size, shape or configuration of such a wall. Therefore since Brault discloses that the permanent receptor or wall 20 and may be rigid or semi-rigid sheeting or plating such as cardboard or brick wall (see col. 5, lines 30-40), it is the examiner's position that Brault teaches the permanent wall structure as claimed by the applicant. Thus applicant's argument is believed to be incorrect in this instance.

Telephone/Fax

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1734

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

JAMES SELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECH. CENTER 1700